

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/597,453 06/20/2000		Kenneth D. Beer	30408	6243			
25764	7590	05/09/2003					
	FAEGRE & BENSON LLP 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER				EXAMINER		
90 SOUTH			TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ				
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN	55402					
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1771			
				DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ASH
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/597,453	BEER ET AL.	
_	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771	,
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the c v r sheet with the c	orrespond nce add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment which	ation. A proper reply	y to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply to later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate the final of	opriate extension
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in fithe appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be			I
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would local canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi —·	dered but does NOT	「place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo		nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			ļ
Claim(s) objected to:			!
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-28,65-96 and 121-126</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-12,29-64 a	and 97-120.		
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
0.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation She</u> t	Eli-	ZADETH M. COLE IMARY EXAMINER	W6
			l



Continuation of 10. Other: Applicans requested clarification on the rejection over Vane in view of Beer. The rejection is directed to adding the batting layer in addition to stitching. The motivation for that is that it will further stabilize the structure. It is noted that the yarn of thread used for stitching together the layers may be a thermoplastic material as taught by Vane (Column 3, lines 1-2), these equate to the entangling fibers polymeric fibers..

,			1 C
Supplemental	Application N .	Applicant(s)	— /t >-
Advisory Action	09/597,453	BEER ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Norca L. Torr s-Velazquez	1771	
Th MAILING DATE of this communicati n app	ars on the cover she t with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely tiled amendment which	ation. A proper repl	ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mail the later than three months after the mail the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mail than the mail that the mail than the mail that the mail than the mail that the mail that the mail than the mail than the mail that the mail than the mail that the mail that the	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The apprunt of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF).	Brief must be filed within the ne	riod set forth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		пе арреаі.	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		oo NOTE belowly	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		ee NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	ially reducing or sin	nplifying th
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	e .
NOTE:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	in it is a second order to	.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	lered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered a v or appended	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	a appointed.	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:		·	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-28,65-96 and 121-126</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
B. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappro	oved by the Evamin	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		oved by the Examin	ICI .
0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	E	ELIZABETH M. CO PRIMARY EXAMIN	n Cole DLE NER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sh t (PTO-303)

Application No. 009/597,453

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the limitation, which the batting lay r comprising polymeric fibers was not considered in previous actions...

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicants requested clarification on the rejection over Vane in view of Beer. The rejection is directed to adding the batting layer in addition to stitching. The motivation for that is that it will further stabilize the structure..